



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,515	09/30/2003	Keith N. Larson	3655/0302PUS1	4111
47827 7590 10/31/2011 MUNCY, GEISSLER, OLDE & LOWE, PLLC 4000 LEGATO ROAD, SUITE 310 FAIRFAX, VA 22033				
EXAMINER				
KARIKARI, KWASI				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/31/2011		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/674,515	Applicant(s) LARSON ET AL.	
	Examiner KWASI KARIKARI	Art Unit 2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) KWASI KARIKARI. (3) ____.
- (2) Scott Wakeman. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☒ Others
 (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15 and 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

To expedite prosecution, the Examiner suggested to Mr. Wakeman the possibility of amending claimed limitations for allowance. However, Mr. Wakeman requested an Office Action in order to make a better decision as how to proceed in due course.

Therefore, an Office Action would be sent in due course.

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/KWASI KARIKARI/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617
 10/27/2011